

Serial No.: 10/671,846
Amdt. Dated June 14, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 14, 2005

133074-1

REMARKS

In the office action mailed March 14, 2005 the Examiner rejected claims 1-13. Claims 14-28 were withdrawn pursuant to an earlier restriction requirement and election. The March 14, 2005 Office action has been carefully considered. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application by the Examiner in light of the above amendments and the following remarks.

35 USC § 112 Rejections

The Examiner rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the Examiner's rejections, Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 10 has been amended for clarification purposes. The Applicants have amended Claim 10 to recite, "...at least one member selected from the group consisting of...".

Applicants therefore submit that the Examiner's rejection of Claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is successfully overcome.

35 USC § 102 Rejections

The Examiner rejected claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by McGrath et al. (U.S. Patent 4,025,159; hereinafter called "McGrath").

Claim 1 has been amended to recite, "...wherein said gap is greater than the coherent length of light used to illuminate said optical film ...". Support for the amendment can be found in paragraph [0020] of the instant specification.

Serial No.: 10/671,846
Amdt. Dated June 14, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 14, 2005


133074-1

The Applicants respectfully submit that, especially as amended, Claim 1 recites patentable subject matter over McGrath. McGrath neither discloses nor suggests the limitation that the gap between the film layers be greater than the coherent length of light used to illuminate the film. Applicants therefore submit that Claim 1 and all claims dependent therefrom are in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants respectfully submit the application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the claims are respectfully requested.

Should the examiner believe that anything further is needed to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew J. Caruso
Reg. No. 48,520

General Electric Company
Building K1, Room 3A71
Schenectady, New York 12301
June 14, 2005
Telephone: (518) 387-7354